| TABLE 3. Percentage of agencies, by selected measures reflecting interest in future male caseload, and strategies for a | nd bar- |
|---|---------|
| riers to serving men, all according to agency type and receipt of Title X funding, 1999 | |

| | All agencies | Type of agency† | | | | | Title X funding‡ | |
|--|-----------------|--|----------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | | Community/ migrant health center | Health department | Hospital | Planned Parenthood affiliate | Other | Yes | No |
| Interest in serving men in the future | | | | | | | | |
| More men than now | 82 | 90 | 82 | 63* | 95 | 81 | 83 | 80 |
| Same number of men as now | 18 | 10 | 17 | 37* | 5 | 19 | 17 | 19 |
| Fewer men than now | <1 | 0 | 1 | 0* | 0 | 0 | <1 | <1 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Strategies for reaching men | | | | | | | | |
| Programs targeted to men | 18 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 49*** | 28** | 22 | 12** |
| Activities to recruit men | 21 | 27* | 15 | 10 | 48*** | 29** | 24 | 15* |
| Barriers | | | | | | | | |
| Men unaware of services | 58 | 54 | 59 | 43 | 89*** | 60 | 62 | 51* |
| Inadequate funding | 55 | 56 | 51 | 47 | 68** | 68** | 56 | 53 |
| Difficulty finding/recruiting male clients | i 49 | 37** | 54 | 42 | 58 | 49 | 53 | 41* |
| Shortage of male providers | 39 | 9*** | 56 | 25*** | 48 | 30*** | 49 | 22*** |
| Facility not male-oriented | 30 | 5*** | 40 | 32 | 46 | 26* | 37 | 18*** |
| Inconvenient hours for men | 17 | 12** | 27 | 8*** | 9*** | 3*** | 20 | 11** |
| Other | 4 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 |

*p<.05. **p<.01. ***p<.001. †Significance levels refer to difference between the specified value and the value for health departments. ‡Refers to Title X funding of contraceptive services at one or more of an agency's clinics.